



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS



The Price of Freedom is Eternal Vigilance

Vol. 61 No. 39

03rd October 2025

IN THIS ISSUE

Poverty In The Midst of Plenty: The Social Creditors Cry By Neville Archibald	73
The Shattered Legacy of the Founding Fathers By Neville Archibald	77
Mixed Messages By Arnis Luks	78

**Poverty In The Midst of Plenty:
The Social Creditors Cry By Neville Archibald**

The obvious conclusion to today's worries can be determined from the recognition that we are no longer bound to scarcity. With the age of technology and labour-saving devices becoming an age of virtually unrestricted capacity to produce, our survival as a species has been assured (as far as providing for our physical needs is concerned).

With that in mind, if we can allow the mass of people, to see this is, in fact, a physical reality, only it is being artificially being restricted by political and financial intervention/corruption, then we may see a possibility of turning it around. Will those doing that restricting allow us to see this? No, they will not. In fact they will ensure we think we are facing a crisis that over-rides any contemplation of an easy life that could be had by all.

Enter new reasons for scarcity. New reasons to distract us from finally figuring out we have been played! If scarcity cannot be controlled by inability to produce anymore, then artificial means have to be used. Artificial scarcity. The financial system has been the go-to-vehicle for such manipulation in the past. Social Credit has endeavoured to point this out and has offered remedies for correcting it. Now the debt situation has become so obvious (where all nations – despite their ability to produce an abundance - still have huge debts) it is hard to keep it hidden from any real scrutiny.

These new reasons could be drought, fire and a fear of pollution/overuse of resources and the impact that has on our planet.

We have seen mega fires burning out of control, we have seen massive floods impacting many, we have seen storms of great magnitude (not exactly unheard of in the past) promoted as 'catastrophic' in terms of damage.

Then of course we have that overlying fear, the causal fear of Climate Change! The harbinger of doom to us all, the Armageddon inflicted by our nasty greedy selves, for harnessing the very means of eliminating that very scarcity that would otherwise enable us to reach new heights of freedom for the individual. Freedom from the endless toil to just survive, let alone survive well.

On the fire front, I think any half competent researcher, who looks at policy regarding maintenance of fire prone areas, will see a purposeful reduction in true care-taking. Overgrowth (return to nature – letting everything go wild) and management of parks, has seen this fire load increase to the point of absurdity. Coupled with water restrictions due to misuse and ‘beneficial sales’ to some industry or industrial farming enterprises has seen a twofold impact on fire severity. This coupled with, I suggest, intentional fire bugs lighting fires on bad days, has been devastating. You may think I am going just a bit too far with these statements, but I truly believe, that looking at previous fires, I see far too many co-incidental occurrences. People known to be a fire-lighting risk not restricted or watched or even made responsible for their crimes. I am not one to want Orwellian oversight, but I do believe in responsible caution and punishment befitting a crime.

The damage inflicted by floods is also largely a management issue. We have had a century or more to tame the rivers and watersheds, to map out the dangers of seasonal rains and find ways to mitigate these risks, yet we still see them occurring. More-so recently, as they look to find something to explain, or prop up the ‘Climate Change’ scenario. Rather than blame dams that are too full for the time of year or seasonal forecast with water that is being preserved for its earning potential (speculation/profitteering) rather than its use to produce food. This in turn, leaves nowhere for the excess to go in case of heavy rains. The compounding financial management incentives have led to a number of such flooding events, then passed off as inevitable due to ‘Climate Change’. I would, tongue in cheek suggest that it is a financial climate change/ rather than an actual weather-related climate.

We also see weather manipulation. We are repeatedly told this is a lie, yet policy exists within governments that talk about that very thing. Weather use, as a wartime possibility, goes back to operation ‘Popeye’ in the Vietnam war, where it was proved that this manipulation could be used in a beneficial way (to destroy or starve an enemy).

<https://web.archive.org/web/20090710041623/http://www.sunshine-project.org/enmod/enmodprop.pdf>
Even the WEF admits to it being possible. <https://widgets.weforum.org/future-shocks/weather-wars/index.html>

Actual use of weather modification in the Saudi states is happening. It is believed to have started in 2004, but possibly even earlier. <https://www.arabnews.com/node/2570378/saudi-arabia>

The greening of their deserts and the help for farming enterprises by seeding water bearing clouds and dropping their precipitation early, has been going on for years. Other countries who would have received this rain have complained, but to no avail.

Denial and obfuscation has been the order of the day, responsibility has not been considered.

What is commonly referred to as ‘chem trails’ are also seen in our skies on a regular basis. Such is the misinformation regarding these things, that well known contrails (water vapour) are used to explain it, even when there is not the moisture present for them to come into being. At one time, these trails would fade out fairly quickly, now they seem to linger and grow. Am I just being suspicious, are all the people who say things are different now wrong? I think we have seen a change in the way these trails behave without a doubt, some even appearing to turn off and on. The sheer number of false or unbelievable explanations for so many things our governments are doing, makes it extremely hard to accept any story they tell us. We must increasingly take all that is said with a grain of salt and look for our own reality. Use our own observational skills and determine for ourselves what the truth is.

So the ‘poverty amidst plenty’ slogan of the early Albertan social creditors, is now capable of being seen in its entirety, if we look past all the distractions. That is; it has become far too hard to hide the light of truth about the current financial system under a bushel than ever before. The extreme nature of disparity between possible and actual is too hard not-to-see.

Enter the chastisements that match the concept of: ‘no such thing as a free lunch.’ While that slogan held for those whose working lives were a constant struggle to survive, it no longer matches the machine and AI age, what it can be used for however, is the concept of a ‘threat-to-the-world’ from too many people using too many resources.’ Or, in their terms, we are living beyond the capability of the planet! We should be ashamed of ‘our’ greediness.

The obvious pollution and environmental degradation that we see daily, is being foisted upon our psyche. It is being sold to us as our fault for living too large. The reverse is actually true. It has been the greed of wealth seekers, in particular the banking and financial sectors, who drive the economic decisions we make (we must make to stay in the business of life). They are the drivers of ‘built in obsolescence’ and ‘getting big or getting out.’ They are also behind the push for speculative investment and maximising your return on your money. With inflation far higher than the figures they pretend they are, they devalue every part of our life’s accomplishments. They force us into competing for ‘scarce’ dollars and into making decisions, that a little thinking about, would reveal themselves as harmful to others. The idea that, ‘if others were not so stupid, they would be doing it too’, is a false argument, that pits populations against each other, rather than against the immoral perpetrators of the policy. Once again, they divide to conquer.

A myriad of distractions from real problems is always to be expected from power seekers. The distraction related to climate change and demonisation of CO₂, is so large and so audacious that it seems hard to believe that someone would attempt to fake it – doesn’t it? Ask yourself that question though, then ask why would they be prepared to do so? If the above reason is not enough of an answer, I suggest you need

to consider the implications of it more fully before going on. There is nothing wrong with disagreement, but it should provoke serious debate and analytical study. We can only move in the right direction if we have the right facts.

Over the years, those who wish to control our civilization, have wedged themselves into positions of power and have fostered sympathies within all manner of groups. The philanthropic nature that wealth can provide (especially when it is wealth in abundance) colours our many institutions. Grants bonded to research outcomes, or directed to look at specific impact studies, can provide any number of questionable results. Results which can then be funded according to the picture to be painted. If a study shows increasing ozone loss over the Antarctic, fluorocarbons and other aerosols can be blamed and studies galore done, to prove they have some impact on the earth's systems. It is almost guaranteed that they are having a negative effect as far as pollution goes, but what the real driver of ozone loss is, is less important than the story they are trying to make up. The fluorocarbons in question, were running out of patent, and soon to be available for all to use - royalty free. Is it a coincidence that these were claimed as the drivers, when in actual fact, sunlight on the upper atmosphere makes ozone, and the earth's poles are deficient in sunlight for a good four months of the year. That and the fact that ozone thinning (which is all it ever was) has been observed happening since the International Year of the Antarctic in 1957. A lot of time and money was spent in a world-wide research effort, part of which showed exactly that, it also hypothesised that the 11 year sunspot cycle (the natural cycle of the sun itself) probably had a big impact on how much it thinned by? If this sounds at all familiar, then also ask yourself why CO₂ is now so demonised. It is a crucial environmental gas that feed plants, that makes plant growth less susceptible to drought and makes them grow at a greater rate. The infinitesimal amount we put into the atmosphere is less of a problem than the many other actual pollutants making impacts on our planet, yet it is singled out! Why?

Food production is at the core of survival, make food scarce and blame it on the planet and our impact on it, and we will be even more malleable. Forget the "science" used to explain its nastiness, consider the actual job it does and ask yourself, if all the modelling in the world can replicate what actually happens. Higher CO₂ means more plant growth, more plant growth equals more CO₂ consumption, more carbon sequestered, more food potential. Look at the real graphs of CO₂ and heat difference and you will see it follows warming, not leads. The real cause for potential warming in the models, is more likely in the temperature related data sets. The heat island effect alone, on temperature readings, is an item of data that is 'corrected' for man's alteration of his local area (bigger cities and urban build up around recording devices, has drastically changed the resultant figures). The corrections made for this, are at best, estimates. Are they out by a few degrees? This is something called experimental error, and in all calculations of this nature, the calculation of error should be quoted with the final figure, yet we hardly ever get that. Sometimes this error can cancel itself out, sometimes it can compound. The important point is, in

this case, I suspect the error itself is probably greater than the predicted rise – I'd be happy to be corrected. My reading of presented data, does not show that anything like that, has been comfortably addressed.

So back to social credit. The truths of its statements and observations are becoming obvious. With a little more pushing it could become far more so. To combat this, we are being surrounded by distractions that seem far more pressing – life threatening even. We must use our own discretion when being asked to believe we are facing some new catastrophic problem. We must question carefully, whether the solution being put to us, is more likely to result in less freedom for the individual and more power for the state.

If only I had a dollar for every 'sky is falling' moment in our lives.

The Shattered Legacy of the Founding Fathers By Neville Archibald

We are currently seeing a drive, world-wide, for a setting up of a digital currency. One that can be linked to our personal digital IDs (also in the pipeline for most countries). Each country is facing the imposition of some form of this nightmare possibility. Our use of smart phones and other smart devices, may seem to be a freedom of sorts; but, the potential for misuse by overzealous governments far outweighs any benefit to my mind. The Chinese example, of people being locked out of society for all sorts of perceived infractions of government policies, is one that is regularly reported on. Then the recent locking up of bank accounts in Vietnam, almost a quarter of all accounts, should be seen as a warning to us.

If you think it couldn't happen here, think again. The Canadians saw it with COVID and the truckers protest convoy, we saw it here when we were denied access to almost any public place for disobeying the vaccine mandate - for an experimental injection that didn't work!

This promised digital future carries with it digital chains to control, like it or not. In the past, the question that was similar to this was about who controls the production of a nation's money. They may not have had such an easy way to use it to control others, but for its time it was certainly effective. It still is! The new push means effective control on a scale that puts all other attempts to shame.

Donald Jefferies in his book on *The Founding of the United States of America*, says: "At the birth of the republic, the political differences between the Federalists, led by Washington, John Adams, and Hamilton, and the Democrat-Republicans, led by Jefferson, Madison, and Monroe, centered around the central bank, and the power of the federal government. Federalists, especially bankers' puppet Hamilton, wanted the kind of central bank that would eventually culminate in the Federal Reserve system we've come to know and love. Jefferson's philosophy, on the other hand, was best summed up in his remark that, "I believe that banking institutions are more dangerous to our liberties than standing armies.... The issuing power should be taken from the banks and restored to the people, to whom it properly belongs."

Needless to say, Jefferson and his kind lost - were crushed. Not only on the central bank issue, but on the size of government. That should be obvious to any modern American.”

Donald Jeffries: *The Shattered Legacy of the Founding Fathers.* donaldjeffries@substack.com

Within these comments, we see that Jefferson was aware of the dangers of money control in the wrong hands. He also, it appears, was worried about the size of government.

Once any system becomes too bloated, it becomes hard to keep control of it, in fact it seems to then take on a life of its own, unrelated to the actual objective it was designed for.

In the heady days of a Nation’s creation, these things were thought about long and hard. A war, in this case, was fought over ideals.

The people of this fledgling nation were hard to control, and it has taken a long time to bring them under the thumb of big finance. Imagine how much easier it would have been if dissidents could have had their ability to economically function cut, by a simple canceling of their ID. No buying or selling, unless approved.

Today, we are staring down the barrel of this form of warfare with the introduction of mandatory ID and its related uses. In the UK, Keir Starmer has already promised that those without the proposed new digital ID, will not be able to work! No work, no wage. No wage, no way to survive in this modern world. We cannot simply move to another place and start again. There are no more places to go. And why should we? These countries are ours, they belong to the people in them, not the politicians or the money controllers. These are our lives that are being impacted. A little bit more is taken from our freedom every time a new law is passed.

A little bit more is decided for us, without our consent. At what point will we realise we are no longer our own masters, no longer in control of our lives.

We must resist this attraction we seem to have for the easy way out. Letting someone else decide what is best for you, can only end up with someone getting the better of you. After all, the desire for power never seems to shrink over time, it seems the more they get, the more they want.

I know very few people that like our leading political parties, or the direction they are taking us. To give them, in particular, such potential to control our every move, is tantamount to suicide for our freedom. A digital ID will hasten this process like never before. We must say NO!

Mixed Messages By Arnis Luks

<https://archive.org/details/biblebillbiograp0000elli>

Reading for this week has included ‘Bible Bill’ – a Biography of Alberta’s Premier William Aberhart, and also ‘Steps Towards The Monopoly State’ by ED Butler. Both follow an undergirding theme of the Mainstream Media’s elevation to dominance (over political discussion) across the 1930’s and 40’s. The controllers of media did not get it all their own way however, but found it necessary to wrestle their way through

with propaganda to hold the line during those important pre-and-post World-War-II-years.

'*Bible Bill*' – *A Biography of William Aberhart* compiled by David R Elliott and Iris Miller draws on the background of the religious and political career of William Aberhart, while denouncing CH Douglas as a fraud. The fact that not-one social-credit-policy was permitted to proceed under Aberhart's Premiership; being stalled by the courts, the Lieutenant Governor, the Federal Government and even the Privy Council in England, shows a fear amongst the financial circles that Douglas' proposals could well and truly have worked and were to be stopped before they started - at all costs. The authors did not say it, but they certainly showed it in their biased assessment and omissions.

The story of Aberhart's developing thinking shows a man searching for truth, at most times honestly, while also yielding to the temptations of power over others.

Aberhart was no saint, but did give the Alberta Premiership his best shot to introduce Douglas Social Credit policies while balancing the financially-bankrupt books of the Province. Everything was financially stacked against him, but he did succeed to optimise the budget and minimise provincial taxes against the destitute Alberta farmers. Well worth a read, if only to understand that Douglas Social Credit proposals were never permitted to be implemented into the province of Alberta, Canada.

https://alor.org/Storage/Library/PDF/Butler_ED-Steps_Towards_the_Monopoly_State.pdf

'*Steps Towards The Monopoly State*' by ED Butler is a series of 19 articles penned across November 1947 till June 1949 dealing with the collectivist state imposing incrementally restrictive policies upon the Victorian peoples. While ED Butler had a working platform of the *Argus* column, he increasingly observed that his days were numbered. The *Argus* (in late June 1949) was purchased to shut his writing down.

...The articles deal with various aspects of the major problem confronting the peoples of this and other British countries: how to defeat the threat of the complete Monopoly State, a threat which has become so grave only because the great majority of people do not understand that the policy of Monopoly being imposed in all spheres of human activities-political, economic, and financial - has been advanced by a technique of what can be best termed Sovietisation by stealth and trickery. Until this technique is more widely understood, no effective action can be taken to defeat it... It is appropriate in these critical times to recall the statement made by that famous English historian and philosopher, Lord Acton, in his "*Lectures on The French Revolution*": "*The appalling thing in the French Revolution is not the tumult but the design. Through all the fire and smoke we perceive the evidence of calculating organisation. The managers remain studiously concealed and masked; but there is no doubt about their intention from the first.*" ... It has been reported from England that Mr. Israel Moses Sieff, one of the individuals mentioned in my suppressed article, is one of the controllers of the English *Daily Mirror* (which purchased the *Argus*-ed).

The reader might reflect upon this interesting fact...

The AUSTRALIAN LEAGUE OF RIGHTS
NATIONAL SEMINAR

ADELAIDE 11-12 OCTOBER 2025

THE WILL TO POWER
THE WILL TO FREEDOM

=====

THE TRADITIONAL CHURCH -
SPEAKING TO POWER
delivered by Solicitor R Balzola

UNCOVERING THE DOUGLAS SOCIAL CREDIT ARCHIVES
OF SIR WALTER MURDOCH
delivered by Daniel L. Criddle

THE DOUGLAS SOCIAL CREDIT SOLUTIONS
delivered by Neville Archibald

Bookings via email to :: heritagebooks@alor.org or Mobile 0415527121

The Cross-Roads - Wednesday Morning Streaming

Our usual Broadcast / Podcast for Wednesday morning each week will now include streaming through Zoom technology. Should you wish to join us, simply click on the front page link in alor.org - just below the main menu items and before the videos.

While we won't be able to bring you 'live' into the show at the moment, the questions and statements from the chat box can be passed around the team to consider your thoughts.

We look forward to catching up and fielding your interaction across the panelists. This is a new initiative for ALOR, and you are most welcome.

Every Wednesday mornings at 1000 hrs ACST - (UTC + 9.30) via Zoom.

See you all there!

Annual Subscription to 'On Target' \$75.00 pa which includes an Insert, the On Target and the NewTimes Survey journals - printed and posted monthly.

Donations & Subscriptions can both be performed by
Direct Bank Transfer to:

A/c Title Australian League of Rights (SA Branch)

BSB 105-044

A/c No. 188-040-840

Postal Address: PO Box 27, Happy Valley, SA 5159.

Telephone: 08 8322 8923 eMail: heritagebooks@alor.org

Online Bookstore : <https://veritasbooks.com.au/>

Our main website of the Douglas Social Credit and the Freedom Movement "Archives" :: <https://alor.org/>

On Target is printed and authorised by Arnis J. Luks

13 Carsten Court, Happy Valley, SA.